

Commentary, analysis and investigations on issues affecting African Americans www.blackcommentator.com

May 28, 2009 - Issue 326

Contents of Issue

Home

Nation's Rulers Disconnected from Everyday Lives of Americans Solidarity America By John Funiciello BlackCommentator.com Columnist

Click on the back button of your browser to return to non printer friendly page of this article

Click here to get helpful hints for viewing and printing this printer friendly plain text page

It's significant that President Obama cited "empathy" as one of the attributes that he would look for in a U.S. Supreme Court nominee. Significant, because that quality seems to be lacking in the halls of power in America, including the court, itself.

Predictably, the chatterers on the nation's air waves, who provide the delivery system for the propaganda of the ruling class, attacked him for seeking a nominee who presumably would cast votes on the high court on the basis of emotion, rather than the rule of law.

What he may have meant was that his nominee to replace Justice David Souter should be someone who, in rendering decisions on the high court, could understand the effects of the court's decisions on the daily lives of the people who are living under the laws as they are interpreted by just nine persons, often by a vote of 5-4.

The "empathy" that Obama is looking for would be a significant factor in the outcome of future decisions on the court, especially if the justices can actually put themselves in the places of ordinary Americans, as they live their lives, always with an eye toward how their actions will be interpreted by those charged with upholding the law.

Every day, arbitrary interpretations of law by law enforcement officials or even by the courts result in somebody's long involvement with the criminal justice system. Once you are so marked, it's difficult to escape from the legal entanglement, possibly for a lifetime.

Whatever a person's "judicial temperament" and whatever his or her political bent, the power to forever alter another's life should be a consideration in making decisions at the highest levels of the justice system. That's where "empathy" comes in - empathy, the capacity to recognize or understand another's state of mind or emotion.

Perhaps, empathy was not the best word for one of the qualities of a Supreme Court justice, but it is an important quality for anyone in a leadership or official position.

The president might have said simply that he wanted someone who is not only highly qualified, but also one who understands the effects of legal decisions on whole segments of the population - minorities, women, workers. The state of mind or emotion of an individual or a group of Americans will be directly affected by any decision of the nominee and the other eight justices.

That's why the replacement for Justice Souter should be someone who is able to discern - through the haze of politics and the pressures of the political, legal, or philosophical groups with which a justice associates - the effects of decision-making on Americans' daily lives.

Although this is a far from perfect entity, this democratic-republic, if it was founded to be "of the people, by the people, and for the people," it isn't just the legislative and executive branches of government that need to understand, and respond to, the needs of the people.

The laws that the justices interpret are enacted by representatives in Congress and signed by the president, in response to a need of the people - not to an individual, but to the general welfare of the people.

Decisions made by nine justices should be a check on the other two branches of government, rather than an auxiliary branch of the other two. Every one of them, when they come to vote on a case, should be asking, "What will be the effect of this decision on the people? Will this decision be just for the people?"

The status quo puts Corporate America in charge of the economy and, to a great extent, the political life of the nation. The people, though they have a right to cast a ballot, do not have much of an impact on the direction of the country - and that's to their detriment. That may be why Obama seeks someone who has "empathy."

Jeffrey Toobin, writing in the May 25 issue of *The New Yorker*, noted that Justice John Roberts said at his confirmation hearing that judges are like umpires, that they play a limited role, but that "nobody ever went to a ballgame to see the umpire." He would be such a judge, Nominee Roberts said. He would, he said, conduct himself with "modesty and humility."

"After four years on the court however," Toobin continued, "Roberts's record is not that of a humble moderate but, rather, that of a doctrinaire conservative. The kind of humility that Roberts favors reflects a view that the Court should almost always defer to the existing power relationships in society. In every major case since he became the nation's seventeenth Chief Justice, Roberts has sided with the prosecution over the defendant, the state over the condemned, the executive branch over the legislative,

and the corporate defendant over the individual plaintiff. Even more than (Antonin) Scalia, who has embodied judicial conservatism during a generation of service on the Supreme Court, Roberts has served the interests, and reflected the values, of the contemporary Republican Party."

It's probably not necessary to point out that most Americans do not associate with national politicians, corporate executives, or Supreme Court justices.

Their lives are very dissimilar, since the politicians, corporate executives, and judges and other members of the bar are the ones in control and in power. It's likely to remain so for some time to come.

This is a bad time for the people, for wage-earning Americans, and every day, more and more of them are thrown onto the unemployment rolls and, because of the loss of so many millions of well-paying jobs in recent months, many millions more Americans are going without health care for themselves and their families than the 47 million that are usually noted.

The power brokers in the U.S. are suffering no such losses in their personal lives, yet. It's very easy for them to make every effort to maintain the status quo, because they have no idea what it's like to scramble for money to pay the rent, for food, to take a child or spouse to the doctor. These are things that powerful people and the well-off don't think about, but workers think about them all the time, especially when they are without a job.

That's when a little empathy goes a long way. It would be a good thing if more politicians and CEOs showed a little more of it. As it is, if Obama finds a Supreme Court nominee with a measure of empathy it'll only be a good start.

Click here to comment on any article in this issue or see what others are saying in the

## The BlackCommentator Readers' Corner Blog

**BlackCommentator.com** Columnist, John Funiciello, is a labor organizer and former union organizer. His union work started when he became a local president of The Newspaper Guild in the early 1970s. He was a reporter for 14 years for newspapers in New York State. In addition to labor work, he is organizing family farmers as they struggle to stay on the land under enormous pressure from factory food producers and land developers. Click here to contact Mr. Funiciello.



Your comments are always welcome.

## e-Mail re-print notice

If you send us an e-Mail message we may publish all or part of it, unless you tell us it is not for publication. You may also request that we withhold your name.

Thank you very much for your readership.

Website Design and Hosting provided by





Copyright © 2002-2009 www.BlackCommentator.com All Rights Reserved