

Issue 171 – February 16, 2006

Radio BC: Uncle Sam's Haiti Thugocracy

Radio BC Master Page

<u>Home</u>

Text of Radio BC audio commentary in PDF format



click here to become a

A study of the No Child Left Behind program shows that "behind" means different things at Black schools than at white schools. Harvard University's Civil Rights Project has found that the supposedly strict guidelines of No Child Left Behind are being applied unequally, that schools in mostly white regions are allowed to get waivers that keep them from falling into the below standard category, while schools in Black and brown districts face the full weight of punishment for being substandard.

This story is much weightier than it might seem. The first thing that one must understand is that the Bush administration intended No Child Left Behind as a wedge to undermine public education as an institution. It was designed to make private education seem to be a great alternative – one that should be funded with public dollars. Liberals like Senator Ted Kennedy bought into the language of the bill, when they should have known that the Bush regime would interpret the language any way they saw fit. And they saw fit to punish upscale white, suburban schools as well as Black, urban schools. The white schools fought back, and got waivers from compliance with aspects of No Child Left Behind. The Black districts lacked the political power to do so, and found their facilities condemned as substandard. Thus, the inequality in the application of No Child Left Behind.

But this takes us to a more fundamental argument, one that goes back to 1954, the year that the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that school segregation was inherently unequal and unconstitutional. Many people fail to remember – or choose to incorrectly recall – that the thrust of the argument against segregation was that racial isolation allows whites to discriminate against Blacks without materially harming themselves. It was not that all–Black classrooms were inherently inferior, but that, once whites could put all Black children in separate schools, they could make those schools inferior. When whites chose to

The Black Commentator - Radio BC: Black Children Left Behind - by Design

flee the cities, they were enabled to starve the school systems that were left behind – in racial isolation.

The current No Child Left Behind controversy is really about what happens in situations of racial isolation. White regions have the political clout to insulate themselves against the most egregious effects of what the Bush administration has turned into an anti-public schools weapon, the No Child Left Behind Act. Black districts don't have that kind of power. They are isolated, and cannot obtain the waivers that whites get. But it's the same old problem.

Racial isolation was the goal of segregation when it had the force of law, and remains the rule under the de facto regime that whites chose to impose after the 1954 Supreme Court ruling. Black kids don't have to go to school with white kids to learn. But if they go to all Black schools, white America has proven that they will ensure that Black children will not have an opportunity to learn, well. They remain the children who are left behind. For Radio BC, I'm Glen Ford.

You can visit the *Radio BC* page to listen to any of our audio commentaries voiced by *BC* Co–Publisher and Editor–in–Chief, Glen Ford. We publish the text of the radio commentary each week along with the audio program.

Г	1				1
I	ł	h	1	-	
I	ł	v			

Copyright © 2002 - 2006 www.BlackCommentator.com All Rights Reserved

Radio BC Master Page

Your comments are always welcome.

Home

Visit the <u>Contact Us</u> page to send e-Mail or Feedback

or Click here to send e-Mail to Publisher@BlackCommentator.com

e-Mail re-print notice

If you send us an e-Mail message we may publish all or part of it, unless you tell us it is not for publication. You may also request that we withhold your name.

Thank you very much for your readership.